



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/203,965	12/02/1998	GENE W. LEE	DAVOX-159XX	4873	
28452 7	590 04/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BOURQUE & ASSOCIATES, P.A.			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J		
835 HANOVER STREET SUITE 303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	CR, NH 03104		2642	19	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
- Advisory Action	09/203,965	LEE, GENE W.			
a Advisory Adden	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	William J Deane	2642			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 01 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	ication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later t ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The d have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter (7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorten	Avisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF Thate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 asion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP .136(a) and the appropriate extension fee the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
 b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ionals after the mailing date of the analite	gection, even it timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		•			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	f finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §		nsidered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
Explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	S :				
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-2,4-7, 9-15 and 17-19</u> .		•			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ ap	proved or b) disapproved by	y the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. ☐ Other:		william Sh			
		WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see Final. Arguments in Response after final were similar to arguments considered earlier. The Final stands.